G. R. S. 58]

Previous references

File No. 1 of 83.

191

Serial Nos. 1–15

(Local Board)

Later referenc

1–16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–15

Major Part B for ~~1886~~ 83-84

SUBJECT.

~~Revision of the ferry contract at Shimoga~~

Exemption from payment of ferry taxes in Shimoga.

R1- 214/179 d. 18.8.1895, From the Dy Commr Shimoga Rt Reports upon the petition of Saragur Sorabhatta and others of Kudli, Shimoga Dt:

R2- 1443/1064 d 27.3.84. From the Dy Commr Shimoga Rt Returns the petition of certain persons of Kudali Shimoga taluk with the report thereon

3 4110/218 d 16.10.84. To the Dy Commr Shimoga Rt Request

GPB—10,000—23-3-15

Communicated

information on certain points regarding the ferry contract in Shimoga

4 - $\frac{1082}{813}$ d 15.12.84 - from the Dy Commr Shimoga &c

Furnishes the information called for regarding the prayer of the inhabitants of Kudli for exemption from the ferry fee

5. 97 d 13.10.92, from Sarvagna Sooba &c and others stating that they were not told to pay the ferry and that the alleged notice was not served upon them and pray that they may be allowed to enjoy the right of being ferried free of charge across Tunga and Bhadra Rivers at Hakkonnur and other places

6 - 693 d 3.12.92, to the Dy Commr Shimoga &c forwards the above petition for brief report.

7 - $\frac{911}{876}$ d 10.12.92. from the Dy Commr Shimoga &c Returns the petitions of Sarvagna Sooba Bhatta and others of Kudli in the Shimoga Taluk and solicits a reference to the head of the office on the subject

8. $\frac{1408}{126}$ d 19.7.93, To the Dy Commr Shimoga &c Requests to submit a complete statement of the case with his opinion on the subject of the complaint and states also what are the terms of the lease of the ferry

9 $\frac{214}{179}$ 18.8.93, to the Dy Commr Shimoga - States that the ferry contracts in question are leased according to the usual conditions and contain no stipulations as regards the exemption claimed by Brahmins and any loss the contractor will not suffer by exempting the Brahmins from payment and praying that other castes should similarly pay the annual aya these terms may be inserted in future leases and again states that copies of similar

P.11175

(65)

Memo

One Sankara Sastry, Agent Kudli Matt. in the Shimoga Taluk petitioned — Government claiming the right of the servants and the Hunjo of the Matt being permitted free of Charge

The Dy Comm of Shimoga to whom the above was forwarded for report submitted a brief precis of the documents in support of the claims, of which an extract is given below:—

From

Dupld to Secretary the principal file is w D. Mail. 16/5/96

In his writ 4-5/6/93 mm. claims as among things enjoyed an allowance by the Agitation dues for Mahotsa. Where is this file & when he became Pr. in 2 the Enquiries. Mde Hdsaabout the Chairmanship & Hereditary rights. Documents to be returned to Shiq he remarked

⊗ No. 216 D/18.8.95 file. 181 Process 8.25

24/3/96

Petition Branch.

Please put up the rep.

2nd 26/3/96

The reports were subd to Rev. Commr on 12-12-95 vide letter No. 214/179 dt 18-8-95 from D.C. Shimoga

Submitted orig 2/11/96

Answer returned 2/4/96

From the paper it would appear, that the Amildar of the Shimoga Taluk in his Addid to the Shekdar of Kudli Inagani Dy 5th July 1838 No 86 directed that the ferry Contractor should be informed that he should not levy fees for conveying people and things belonging to the mutt.

(2) Orders to the same effect were reiterated in the marginally noted orders from the Amildar.

⊕ Of Shimoga Amildar's No 59 Dy 20th August 1844 to the Shekdar Kudli Inagen

2. Honnali Amildar's No 754 Dy 31. 7. 1844 to Pusta Sastry Subadar Kudli Mutt

(167) (3) From enquiries made

~~(3) Enquiries were made~~
~~by~~ by Mr M Ramchundra
Roa 2nd Asstt Comr: an
opinion
the Dy Toms are of ~~opinion by the~~ that there
is good ground for holding
that the servants of the
Kudli Mutt and articles
belonging thereto were
being ferried free of
charge according to long
standing Manual

(4) The Dy Comr: was
requested to submit a
complete list of ferries.

(5) From the list received accordingly
it would appear that
the receipts of each ferry
in the Dt: are credited to
Distt: Funds.

Submitted
26/12/95.

DO No. 3985 Dt: 10.95
R.F. 17.92

(6)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
31 MAR 84
439

1884

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga Dt.

SECTION Local Boards To
FILE No. 1 G 83
SERIAL No. 1

To the Dewan Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner~~ of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Dated 27
Despatched -
Received 31 Mch 1884

Enclosures No. Petition and enclosures

General No. 1443 } 1883—84
R. No. 1064.

Returns the Petition of certain persons of Kindli Shimoga Taluk with report thereon.

noted 31.3.84

to see

Kindli inhabitants for an abkaree rent? & if so what shd be the amount of such rent?

i. What is the tenure by which the Temple has been held?

ii. What are the sources of the Institution's income

iii. Is it necessary that the Kindli inhabitants should all be consulted, what the views of majority will be as to the institution

iv. To whom Expenditure in favour of all inhabitants of Kindli have the contributed

v. If they & the Institution be found to be of no use all

No 1443 / R 064 } 1883-84

In I.A Can papers.

Deptt of Commissioners office
Shimoga District

Shimoga, 27th March 1884

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore
Revenue Department.

Sir -

With reference to your memo no: 753 dated 16th January last. forwarding for report a petition from certain persons of Hudli, Shimoga Taluk claiming exemption from paying the ferry rate like the Brahmans of that Village, I have the honor to state that the old records shew that the following orders were issued on the subject

1. Nagar Superintendent's order no: 383 dated 5th August 1844

P.T.O.

It having come to notice that the Ferry contractor was levying higher rates on the ground of his having spent a large sum of money in preparing the basket boats and that he was making "Harakat" to the Mutt people and others, this order directs that the Contractor should act according to Mamool and that if he did not do so the Amildar should punish him by fine.

2. Nagar Superintendent's order No: 463 dated 2nd September 1844. Was issued on the complaint of the Brahmans of Kudli that the contractor was demanding fee for provisions brought for their home consumption. It declares that the inhabitants of

of Kudli including the Mutt people and others are by custom not liable to pay the fee and directs that if he, the contractor levies it he should be fined as directed in order no: 383 dated 5th: August 1844.

3. Hukum no: 318 dated 12th July 1854. The contractor wanted to levy the fee for provisions brought to the Mutt on the ground that the quantity was large and that he would suffer great loss if he ferried it free; but the Hukum refers to the above Hukums of 1844 and directs that they should be obeyed.

4 Hukum no: 163 dated 25th March 1877 from the Deputy Commissioner directing that the contractor should act in accordance with the above orders.

The fishermen who conduct

the

the boats under the contractors
state that no fee was being
levied from the inhabitants
of Kudli, both Brahmans and
others until 2 or 3 years ago
and that since then the contractors
have begun to levy it from all
except the Brahmans, though
they are bound by their contract
bonds to "act according to manool."
Upon principle I see no reason
for exemption in favor of any
class of persons.

The petition is herewith
returned, together with the
Shimoga Amildar's Report
No: 1377 dated 8th Instant and
Copies of the Hukoom above referred
to.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner

(11) No. 3146

1377

1170

3

44—54

1 ನಿಷ್ಠೆ 44 ಕೀರ್ತನೆ 383 ಕೀರ್ತನೆಯ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

2 ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ 463 ಕೀರ್ತನೆಯ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

3 ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ 541 ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ 3/8 ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ 8/1 ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

4 84 85 ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ

[illegible]

1171

1372/1330 Fasli

Deputy Commissioner

25.3.77 163

20.3.77

Collector

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಹಲವಾರು ಬಾರಿ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದ್ದು ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪತ್ರ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ

28.1.84

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA

4153

4154

23-2-84

262-96

6/3/84

6.3.84

383

163

ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਦੇ ਮੁਖੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਲੋਕ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 59 ਮਿਤੀ 6/3/84 ਦੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਨਾਲ ਭੇਜੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਭੇਜਣ ਬਾਰੇ।

ਮੈਨੂੰ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਅਤੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਲੋਕ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 59 ਮਿਤੀ 6/3/84 ਦੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਨਾਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮੁੱਖ ਮੰਤਰੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪ੍ਰਵਾਨ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਹਨ। ਇਹ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਦੇ ਮੁਖੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ ਅਤੇ ਇਹਨਾਂ ਦੀ ਪਾਲਣਾ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਕਿਹਾ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਮੈਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ


GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
RUPEES 5

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
8
ANNAS

(13)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
8
2 ANNAS
1895
1895

[redacted]

(General Serial No. 31.)

(63) 1884

From

SECTION Local Boards 10f83.

FILE No. ~~1884 Q.~~

SERIAL No. 2

To The Dy. Commissioner Shemoga Distt

Dated 16 Oct

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4110

No. 218

Ref letter No. 1443/1064 Dated 27th March 1884, reporting upon the petition of certain inhabitants of Kudli in the Shemoga Taluk praying for exemption from ferry fees

With reference to the above, request information on the following points

(1) What is the amount for which the Kudli ferry has been let for the current year?

(2) What are the sources of the Contractors' income?

(3) If in accordance with Manool the Kudli

inhabitants are all
to be carried free of
charge, what other sources
of income will be left
to the Contractor?

(4). ~~It~~ When was the
Exemption in favor of
all inhabitants of Kadli
~~boooo~~ discontinued
and under what circum-
-stances?

(5) May not the Contractor be
required to ferry all
Kadli inhabitants for
an annual rent,
and if so what should
be the amount of
such rent?

By Order

(45)

(General Serial No. 20.)

13

1884

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
6206
23 DEC 84
946

SECTION Local Boards
FILE No. 1 of 99 1884
SERIAL No. 3

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

To

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan of Mysore, Revenue Department.

102

Dated 15
Despatched 19 } December
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1082
R No. 813 } 1884-85.

Furnishes the information called for regarding the prayer of the inhabitants of Kudli for exemption from ferry fees.

23/12/84

dated 23/12

Sealed & sent

19/1

(46)

A. 1082 / R 813 } 1884-85

Shimoga district
Deputy Commrs Office
Camp Sorab 15th December
1884

Despch 194

To

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Revenue Department

Sir

With reference to your Docket No 4110/218 of the 16th October last, I have the honor to state as follows.

2. In reply to the first question as to what is the amount for which the Kudle fishery has been let for the current year, I find it has been let for Rs. 150

3. In reply to 2d question as to what are the sources of the Contractors income, the Amildar reports that the contractor gets Rs 100 in cash and Rs 260 in kind in the shape of Arja from the Villagers.

4 In reply to 3d question, i.e that if in accordance with Mamool

the Kudli inhabitants are all to be carried free of charge, what other sources of income will be left to the contractor, I beg to state that with the exception of Rs 20 the realizations mentioned in para 3 will remain stationary.

5. As regards 4th question as to when was the exemption in favor of all inhabitants of Kudli discontinued and under what circumstances, I find from perusal of the late Inspr. Commissioner's letter No. 1443/1064 of the 17th March 1884 and from the vernacular papers that the exemption in favor of other castes has been discontinued for the last 3 years at the request of the contractors.

6. With regard to the 5th question I am of opinion that the contractor should pay for all the inhabitants

of the Khudli Village as this was the old Iramool and as the term of the present contract is drawing to a close, I will, if approved, cause the necessary conditions to be entered in next years Jetty contract

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

J. F. McElyea

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

D.P.C.

(15)

COURT FEE
TWO RUPEES

PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 971 92

ಯಾದಿ 73 ಪಲ್ಲಿಕಾಲ್ಯದಿ 163 ನೆಕ್ಕುಂ ನಕ್ಷತ್ರಪ್ರಕಾರಂ ಬೂಮ್ಯಪ್ತಿ
20-7-73 25-3-77

ನಾಲುಹಾಳಕ್ಕಾ ಗ್ರಿದಕಂತಿಯಲ್ಲ್ ಶಿವಮಂಕಡಿ ಲಶಿಷ್ಯಲು ಲಕ್ಕಾಳುಡಿ ಡಿ ॥ ಸರಳ
ಕಾನದಳ ಲಿಸಲ್ಲಾ ಶಿವಮಾನ ಲಿಲಂ ಕಾಲಲ ನಲ್ಲೆ ಲೈಕ್ಷ್ಯನಿಳಿ ಬಾಲಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿಧೆ
ಡಾಲಕ್ಷಚಿಕ್ಕಿಂಕಾ ಮುಂಲಾಕುಲ್ಲಂ ಬಾಪದ್ದಾನಿಧೆ ಲಾಕಾಲಚಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಳಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ
ಶಕಳಕುನಲ್ಲು ಲಾಲಂಡಿ 77 ನೆಕ್ಕುಯಲ್ಲ್ ಶದಶಕ ಕಂಲಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಶಕಲ್ಲ್
ಲಾಬಸಪ್ಪು 38 ನೆ ಲು ಲಾಸಾಯಲ್ಲಾ ಲಾಲಲು (ಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಪಿಕಾವಡಿ
ಲಾಸಬಕೆಕೆ
ಲಾಬಸ್ಸಿ ಲಿಂಡಿಲಿ ಲಿಕ್ಷಿಲಿ ಬಲಶಲಲ್ಲಿ ನೆಕ್ಕುಂ ಸಾಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲಿ
ಕೆಕ್ಷಚಲಾಲಾ ಲಲಲಾ ಲಲಲಾಲಾಲಾ

೪. ಕೂಡಲಿಯಾನೆಂ ತಿಮಲಿಪಡಹೂಡಿಕಲಿಯಲಿ ಅಂತರಾಪಡಿಸಲಿಪಡಿಸಿಂ ತಿಸಲಾಸಲಾಪಡಿಸಲಿ

ಮಂಮಲೆದಾಲಿಯಂಸಲಾಪಲಿಪಲಿಯಲಿಪಡಿಸಲಿ ನೆಕಾಸಲಿಪಡಿಸಲಿಪಲಿಯಲಿಪಡಿಸಲಿ

೩ ತಿಸಲಾಸಲಾಪಡಿಸಲಿಪಲಿಯಲಿಪಡಿಸಲಿಪಲಿಯಲಿಪಡಿಸಲಿಪಲಿಯಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಭ್ಯೋ ನಮಃ



(55)

304

-21-5-91

6-7-92

11-7-92

12-7-92

48

12.7.92

DEPUTY-COMMISSIONER

9-1-92

ನಿಮ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ
22 6 92
ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣ

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ
1543 ಕೆಳಗಿನ
16-2-92 ಭಟ್ಟರ

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ,
1377

ಉಡುಪಿ 2-3-92 ಶನಿವಾರ

ಪ್ರೀತಿಯ ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣ ಅವರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿತು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಂತೆ ಮಲಪುಂಪು ಅಣೆಕಟ್ಟಿನ ಬಳಿ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆ ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದು ಸರಿ. ಸುಮಾರು 12 ಎಂಕರೆ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಲೋನಿ ಸೇರಿ 15 ಎಂಕರೆ ಜಾಗ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಅಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಸಿಗುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಗೆ 5 ಎಂಕರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಲೋನಿಗೆ 5 ಎಂಕರೆ ಜಾಗ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದರೆ ಸಾಕೆ? ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ನಿಮ್ಮವ
ಕೆ. ಶಿವರಾಮ ಕಾರಂತ

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರಾಂಕ
ದಿನಾಂಕ
ನಿಮಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವುದಾಗಿ

(57)

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಅಮಲುದಾರರಿಗೆ/37ನೇ ಸಂ.
2-3-52

ಮೈಸೂರು

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ

ನಂ. 1650

ವಿಷಯ

ಡಿಸ್ಟಾಲು ಕಛೇರಿ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸಿಗಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೭-೨-೫೨ರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟಾಲು ಕಛೇರಿ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸಿಗಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಯಾವುದೂ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆದರೆ ಕೂಡ್ಲಿಗಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆದ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಂಗಡಿಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

ಕೂಡ್ಲಿಗಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ದೂರುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಇಂದ 6-5-92

ಉಳುಮೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ

ಉಳುಮೆ

ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರು

ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಹೊತ್ತು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಬೀಜ ಬಿತ್ತನೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಪಿಂಚಣಿ ಪತ್ರ ಕಾಲ 23-6-92

ತಲುಪಿದ ಕಾಲ 12-7-92

ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಿದ ಕಾಲ 13-7-92

ಸಬ್ಡಿ 156 ಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲ 0-2-0

ಸ್ವಂತ ಬಿಲ್ಲು — 0-0-4

— 0-2-4

13/7/92

COURT FEE

EIGHT ANNAS

(59)

25-4-92

2217

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE SIRSI DISTRICT



Pet 693

(61)

3-12-92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

SECTION Local Boards
FILE NO. 10683
SERIAL NO. 5

The accompanying Petition No. 977
dated 13th Octr 1892 received at the
Dewan's Office from Sayeer Subbabhatta
& others of Koodli, Shimoga Dt.
praying that they may be allowed to
enjoy their right of being ferried
free of charge, across the Tunga & Bhadra
rivers at Danaikanapura, Holehonnur and
Koodli,
is forwarded, together with its twelve
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Distt. for a brief report
giving the No & date of the refer-
ence made to Govt on the
subject.

The Petition should be
returned

By Order,

Draft.

for Chief Secretary. 12.

BANGALORE,
1 Dec. 1892.
3.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

L1289

SECTION No. 14 89 L.B.

FILE No. 1065/82

SERIAL No. 6

Name of petitioner. Shankarasastry Agent, Kudli Matt

Village. Kudli

Taluk. Shimoga

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 3/12/92

Date of Receipt 14/12/92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 22? 24

14/1

ABSTRACT

Petitioner appeals against the Deputy Commissioner's Order No 106 & 18. 8. 92 directing him to file a regular suit to establish the right of the people of the Matt to be ferried free of charge across the rivers Tunga and Bhadra

S.V. 21/12

L Bd Branch

BO

L 7-12-92 SV

10/1/93

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92, 1060

Petitioner states that

If having its people & provisions been carried free; and that Govt have affirmed this right whenever it has questioned the Contractor in the time being. He has produced a number of papers in support of this allegation

The Dy Com'r states that the papers produced do not show what the manner was & how an right was exercised

Among the papers produced there are several original orders issued by Amildars & Superintt Messrs de Hart & Foster, which seem to support the petitioners contention. Petr states that other docts in support of the claim are filed with the appeal of the Ajahanders of Kudli & the Dy Com refused to grant copies of certain other documents

It is alleged that the right was granted as an Inam to the Matt

Other proceedings

8/2

(62)

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

SECTION — From The Dep^t Board of Commissioner Mysore Dist^t

FILE No. — [illegible] 96

SERIAL No. — To The chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 16th December 192

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES NO. 1 file

General No. 915

No. 876

With reference to his office Memo No 693 of the 18th Instant returns the petition of Sargur Subbabhatta and others of Kudli in the Shimoga Taluk and solicits a reference to the reports of this office.

Peter Moore
19/12

Submitted to the Chief Secretary in Confirmation.

N
23.12.92

Mr Peterson
24/12/92

Refers subd
Mba
30/1/93

19/12/92

The Petitioners state that they are Jainas by caste & that some of them are formally Sub-Amildars but in accordance with present Circulars they were therefore requested to submit a complete statement of the case with his own opinion on the subject of complaint - What are the terms of the present lease of the farm

Papers rec^d 17/7

Referred
Mba
17/7/93

1892

From The Deputy Commissioner
Sunsulpur District.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Income.

Dated 16th December 1892

No 715 Gn / file
876

His Official Memo No 693 dated 19th
Instant forwarding for report a
Petition from Sargun Subbabhatta
and others of Kurdie in the Sunsulpur

In returning the Petition with
its enclosures received with the
above has the honor to solicit
a reference to the marginally
noted extracts of the Price on

To Revenue Secretary No 14239 Dated 27th March 1884.
No - do - No 10642 Dated 15th December 1884.
No Chief Secretary No 67969 Dated Nil
No do No 1001/2276 Dated 17-3-93

(2) L1289

13610 7/11/16/2/93

L.F 17 92

Fn: Twenty five V. Papers

R £16.2

SECTION Boards appeal
FILE NO. 1083 of the
SERIAL NO. 8

Official Memo

The accompanying Petition No 1489 dated 3rd December 1892 ~~from~~ received from Shankara Sastry, Agent. Kudli Matt in the Shimoga Taluk, against the order of the D.C. of Shimoga Petitioner to a regular suit ~~a court~~ to establish the right of the Matt to have ~~people of the~~ ferried free of charge across the rivers Tunga and Bhadra at Holehonnur is forwarded to the D.C. of the Shimoga District, for report with special reference to the several original documents produced by Petitioner. By order

Chief Secy

To The D.C. Shimoga Dist.

Read

Petition Recd No. 971 of 13th October 892 from Sargur Subba Bhatta & others of Koodli in the Shimoga Taluk, praying that they may be allowed to enjoy their right of being ferried free of charge across the Tunga and Bhadra rivers at Dandikanapura, Holéhonnur and Koodli

2 This office Off. Memo. No. 693 Petn dated 16th Dec. 92 forwarding the above Petn to the Dy Commr Shimoga Dr to for report.

3 Docket No. 915/876 of 16th Dec. 892 from the Dy Commr Shimoga Dr to returning the above petition & soliciting reference to certain Correspondence on the subject

Order

Order No 1408 / Pet 126. of 9/7/93

The references cited by the Deputy Commr. are old, and some of them are possibly not in accord with present circumstances. The Dy Commr. is therefore requested to submit a complete statement of the case with his own opinion on the subject of complaint, ~~what~~ also what are the terms of the present lease of the ferry.

2. Vernacular papers are herewith returned

SECTION—
FILE No.—
SUBJECT

To.

The Dy Commr. of the Shimoga Distt.

9/7/93

Chief Secy

M.K.
17/7/93

SECTION Local Boards (General Serial No. 1895)
FILE NO. 1683 of 1895
SERIAL NO.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1639
1895 Np.
29 AUG 95
Dept 175 1C
BANGALORE

sued to the 2 Counsel
Upon a petition praying
the question whether
the inhabitants of the
Village of Kudli
exempt from
were liable to pay
pay any charges, was
first raised in 1884.
The Dy Comr then held
that no fee was
levied from them
(Brahmin workers)
until 2 or 3 years
previously & that
under the contract
deeds, the contractors
were bound to "act
according to Manual
Usage", which principle,
he saw no reason
for exemption in
favor of any persons.
(Vide 1443 of 27-3-84).
1064
The Dewan asked for
some further explana-
tion, in furnishing
which the Asst Collector
differing from his
predecessor expressed
an opinion that the
contractor should
pay fee or charge
all the inhabitants
of the Kudli Village
according to Manual
& he added that if
a difference be allowed

To the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

Revenue
The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore.

Dated 18th August 1895

Despatched 25th

Received

Enclosures No. Can papers.

General No. 214
No. 179

With reference to Govt
Proceedings No. 1408/126 dated
19th July 1893 reports upon
the petition of Largur
Subba Bhatta and others
of Kudli, Shimoga Taluk.

Subd to the Rev. Secretary with references.
The old file ref. to in Govt. No. 1408/126 dtd 19.7.93
contains 2 reports on the subject:—
1 dated 27-3-84 by Mr. S. Venkatavaradayya
1 " 15-12-84 by Mr. Micklejohn
31/8

also the necessary
conditions to be inserted
in the new form of
contract No. 1082 of
15-12-1847 vide or 313
were marked in the
Dn 1890, there was another
petition on the subject
from one Hyat Khan
& others - in which time
before it was sent for
report, simply reference
was made to the orders
submitted in 1884 -
Dist. Judge, before whom
it was by a clerical error
by them carried upon
the authority of
Rudli to produce
since the Demandants
at Lahore, of their
claim & directing
that the contract for
1892 should be subject
to the condition that
if the Lambardars and
the tenants ... of
Govt reasonably
the execution claim
the Contractor should
do the same free
of charge. No ...

cause the necessary
conditions to be entered
in the next year's
Contract / No. 1082 of
15-12-1941 No or-dors
813.
were framed upon the
decision in 1890 which was at the
petition on the subject
from one Ryal Khan
& others - the Deputy Comm.
Peshawar was asked for
report - kindly referred
this case to the reports
submitted in 1884 -
Lumsden's - he follows
it only by a slender margin
by then calculations
the maintenance of
Khadi to produce
at once the Jamadars
in support of their
claim & directing
that the contract for
1892 should be subject
to the condition that
if the Jamadars shall
be produced or if
Govt recognize the
the execution clause
the Contractor shall
pay the penalty free
of charge No orders

these papers upon which
action. On October 12,
certain Brahmins
presented a petition
to Govt complaining
against the levy of fee
from them. The Di
Commr who was asked
to report upon the case
this office to the Corres
-pondence which had
already taken place
on the subject. A
further report was
called for with reference
to subsequent events.
-Hence dated 19/24 - 7 - 93.
Hence the present note,
in which we shall (inter
-alia) authorising the claim of the
Brahmins of Kudal
for total exemption
from the payment
of Kudal
Villages are entitled
to be granted an allowance
of an annual sum -
We consider that the
Contention with
suffer by inorder
This appeal being made
this year, that further

Sales should be held subject to these conditions

A.
2-9-95

Rec'd 2/9

Whether the receipts £.70, or £0?
Information in this matter is wanted.

Clerk
18/11/95

Read 2/12.

Does the record contain this information? If not, where is the information to be found?

Sub-
4/12/95
Ferry collections are credited to Local Fds - Vide Rules at page 142 of the Revenue Manual - In the Budgets for 1894-95 these collections are credited to Local funds -

AR 11/12

* Extract is put up for ready reference

[redacted]

[redacted]

I am sorry they did not send me word when I asked the

file B the 2 Councillor.
The file has to go to
the 1st Councillor
to whom it will
be handed over by
after return from
2 Councillor (P

12-12-95

Rec'd
14/12 Yes. Mins
14/12/95

Transferred to the Clerk
(L.R.)
Parliamentary
Review
14-12-95

Subject to Pr. Councillor
16/12/95 JP

I see no good
reason to allow the
exemption claimed. All
charges must be made to
pay the ferry collectors.
It is open to the Inhabitants
to accept annual grain pays
in lieu of fees. The Rules
of 1874, under which ferry collectors
have been made exempt of 7th
made no exemption in favor
of any particular class. The circum
of any kind. It is most important
to allow exemption to the ferrymen.
16/12/95.

Schreiben 4 d 57 Anl.
Unterzeichnet - Brunnemann
Comptoir Commercial a Curitiba
hatte schon auf d. 1. Januar
seine demande, Frachten
bey uns [illegible] (1) Mai
Cost Fund 4527. Balance
Sammlg. d. Ausstg. (2) Brabanter
d. Kinder. (3) Ober-Pfarrer
Please answer as
Ich antworte a Freytag
Unterschrift — Lindl
4.12.95

1.12.95

21/12/95

27.30 Mk
1.12.95

(66) No 1639
Pet. 175

Shimoga District
Deputy Commrs Office
Shimoga 18th August 1895

No 214/179 Can papers

25

SECTION Local Boards
FILE No. 1883
S No 10

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the Government Proceedings No 1408/126 dated 19th July 1893 on the petition of Sargur Subba Bhatta and others of Kudli in the Shimoga Taluk praying that they may be allowed to enjoy their right of being ferried free of charge across the Tunga and Bhadra rivers at Dhanaikapur, Kolehonnur and Kudli, I have the honor to state as follows

2. The petitioners referred to claim

claim the right of being ferried across the rivers free of charge as a long standing Inamool.

3. The matter was enquired into once by Mr. H. Ramachen Dallas, the 2d Assistant Commissioner, and also by myself on the 3d instant.

4. The petitioners urge in support of this Inamool that they are Brahmins long residing in the place and had been allowed the privilege without question till now. They also refer to an order dated 20th March 1877 No. 3 issued by the then Deputy Commissioner Captain Cumming directing that they should be ferried free as per orders of the late Superintendent filed with the records.

5. It has been clearly established by this order and the admissions of the Ferryman himself that no charge was ever made for taking them across the river. Hudle is a small Island at the confluence of the Tunga and Bhadra and is reputed to be a holy place, and these considerations may have had something to do with the origin of the privilege in question. If this is not allowed, these inhabitants may be put to great inconvenience in crossing to the mainland for attending to their business and getting their supplies.

6. It has also to be considered that if this concession is not granted

to them, they may fairly claim to institute a ferry service for their own private use and when that is permitted, the public ferry service will suffer and its income will go down. Thus as a matter of policy also, it is desirable to grant the prayer of the petitioners.

7. Seeing the standpoint of the Brahmins in this matter, the other classes living in Kudli, such as the Mussalmans and Vidrashans have also come forward to claim a similar concession of being ferried free of charge. No reference is forthcoming in support of their claim, but it appears it is never from memory that the people of Kudli were never in the habit of paying daily fees for use of the ferry but

gave annually an Aya or Kisasi of from 8 to 16 Kanas i.e 9 to 18 seers of paddy or Rice according to the number of men in each family. This looks very reasonable and in accordance with the usages elsewhere -

8. The Ferry contracts in question are leased out according to the usual conditions, and contain no stipulation as regards the exemption claimed by the Brahmins or other petitioners. But in consequence of the pendency of this question, only very moderate rents were secured this year and the contractors will not suffer by exempting the Brahmins from

There is exemption only in regard to Public Servants & Post-Runners.

For 1894-95
1. across the Bhadra - Rs. 401
2. near Bhavanipuram - 200
In 1890-91, the former was rented for Rs. 420 & the latter for Rs. 171

(74)

1 14

2 6

3

4

5 6

6

7 2

26-8-95

Revenue Shirasthadar
Shimoga District

ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ಪರಮಗಂಭೀರ ಸ್ಯಾದ್ವಾದಾಮೋಘಲಾಂಛನ ಭವ್ಯಜನಹೃದಯಾಂಬುಜವಿಕಾಸನ ಸಮಸ್ತದುರ್ನಯಧ್ವಾಂತವಿನಾಶನ ಸಹಸ್ರಕಿರಣಕಾಂತಿಧಾರಕ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವರ್ಧಮಾನಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ ಪಾದಪದ್ಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಮಸ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಸಕಲಸಿದ್ಧಾಂತಪಾರಾವಾರಪಾರಗರೂ ಸಮಸ್ತವಿದ್ವಜ್ಜನಚಕ್ರವರ್ತಿಗಳೂ ಸಮಸ್ತಭವ್ಯಜನಕುಮುದವನಚಂದ್ರಮರೂ ಸಮಸ್ತಭವ್ಯಜನಮಸ್ತಕಮಣಿಗಳೂ ಸಮಸ್ತಭವ್ಯಜನಹೃದಯಾಂಬುಜವಿಕಾಸನಮಾರ್ತಂಡ

(80)

In the court of the 2nd Assistant Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate Thuraya

Criminal case No. 57 of 1891.
Dated 5th October 1891.—
Present V. Chelvanayagam Esqr.
J.C. Magistrate

Complainant
Nuppaiya

Accused
1 Kandiah
2 Muttumbattu
3 Pulle Thamotheram



Order.—

The complaint in this case was in the first instance instituted in the Court of the 3rd class Magistrate of the Thuraya Pattu from whence it was withdrawn on the complaint of the complainant and received by this court by the first

District Magistrate.-

The complainant charged the accused with having, on the 5th September 1891 Stolen a ferry boat of his which he had left plying on the Godavari river at Koodli.-

The following witnesses were examined for prosecution

1 Kuppanna 2 Ramayanna 3 Appalah Lah, 4 Pullian Lah and 5 Ramayyah & two exhibits were filed for, prosecution.-

The accused stated as follows; 1st accused I worship Rameswara Devaru, Durga Ganapathi and Veerabhadra, and enjoy Joshi lands for the duty in return. I and others did not on the 5th September 1891 work by our own men the ferry

ferry boat of the complainant
for conveying passengers to
Banaypathpur and back to Kodla
Harry had to go to Hole Homoor
bazar on the day in question
I asked the Complainant to
convey me free of charge as
he had agreed to do so both
directly and indirectly, and
he nodded his head in token
of his consent & went to the ferry
Jumped into the boat which
was Khyra, and crossed the
river there were 2 boats at
the ferry & don't know to which
the one I jumped into belonged
I never had the Complainants
boat worked by independant
men, and indeed I have no
knowledge of the matter at all
I am accused I both the 1st and 3rd

accusa

accused did not convey passengers in the boat of the Complainants but in the aid of rowers employed independently of the Compt.

On the 5th of the month and the 3rd accused crossed the river by the boat which the villagers have kept for use when going to worship the Devaru which is on the hillock of Dannyath pura village and it is allowed for everyone to people in case of accident. I did not attempt to convey people across the river by the complainants boat. His boat is on the river and it is being looked after by a Malaki as per order of the Mudaliar, and our boat is in our possession. —

3rd J.J.

2nd 3rd accused he did not convey passengers in the complainant's boat with him and we cross the river in our boat on the 5th September 1891. There is an order exempting me and others from payment of fees for conveyance over the river (near Koodli) I applied to the complainant Kuppanna as he did not consent to do so he (villagers) have been crossing the river since 15 days prior to the date referred to above, and the complainant has preferred this false complaint in consequence of our not having passed his boat for the purpose

A notice issued by the Brahmins of Koodli to the complainant Kuppanna was

Exhibit

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] relating to the inside
of the Kissing free of charge in favor
of the Hoodli Brahmans including
certain papers referring to the
claim referred by other cases then
to the Lane are filed for defence.-

The circumstances under
which the so called theft of boat
is alleged to have been committed
are these. During the police held
by Government of ferry Contracts
for the current year the Complainant
purchased the ferry Contract
of Kole Honnoor and that for
Danayakkanpur was purchased
by one Lucas by which transferred
it afterwards to the Complainant
and thus he had both the ferries
under his management. The
village of Koodly is situated
within

within the spit of the angle formed by the confluence of the rivers Hunsa and Bhadra, when the rivers are in flood the inhabitants are temporarily deprived of all communication with the village situated on the opposite side unless they go to them via Shimoga which is 9 miles from it or cross the rivers by boats, this difficulty of the villagers would appear to have been represented to Government in former days which was graciously pleased to grant a patent in favor of the Brahmins of the place stating that they should not be charged any ferry contractor fees of whatsoever nature allowed to enjoy this privilege without let or hinderance whatsoever (See the Statement of Kalkumantale 1st witness), how the privilege was continued for some time even

at

with the present [illegible] bank
because no contractor, but it was,
as the States put a stop to no sooner
he became acquainted with the
terms of the Bond which he had
to execute to Government, and
which did not contain such a
stipulation, and the bramins
under the circumstance had
to devise some place by which
they can get out of this artificially,
and the only one that crossed
their mind was to have a tank
for themselves independently
of the contractor, and they accordingly
constructed one of their own.
This they say — is in existence
all along in order to enable
the river to worship the god on the
hillock on the opposite bank,
but no evidence is forthcoming
to show that such was the
case. and the bramins here

J. G. Swamiappa

3a to use it freely for crossing the river This rival boat began of course to tell upon the income of the complainant as a Contractor he therefore complained to the Amildar who ordered it to be seized and impounded. Brahmin objected to this, and before the orders of the Amildar were received to a report made to him by the Shekdar (5th witness) the accused in this case with others are stated to have made use of both the boats for transport of goods and passengers across the river once, and the appropriation by them of the Contractor's boat for the purpose as stated as theft which is the subject of enquiry in this case

All that was been proved by the evidence in this case is the accused crossed the river

never that the 1st accused in the Gardaes boat and the 2nd and 3rd accused in that of the villagers, and that they were roused by Kencha and N. Jumba respectively. As regards the rival boat its flying may be an infringement of the rights of the complainant, for which he would be entitled to damages, but is not one which would call for government interference unless it were a breach of the peace, which, it was not apprehended. The use of the Gardaes boat though for a time only would not amount to theft but for the fact that the boat has been seized under a bona fide claim of right. Only accused who is proved to have used the said boat is the 1st, he is not

of

of those who had according to
the shewing of the complainant
himself, a right to be conveyed
free of charge and he also allowed
to have recourse to the permission
of the complainant even in its
absence I do not think the Act can
could be taken to have committed
theft as he had a right to be
conveyed in that boat simply
because he used it once for a
time, which it is certain for
all intents and purpose, he would
not have done if the Contractor
had agreed to convey him. The
records filed in this case showed
that not only Brahmins had been
enjoying the privilege of being conveyed
free of charge but it is also under
contemplation to extend the privilege
to other classes also. As the Deputy
Commissioner has recently ordered
that

that the indictment can not be legally
of the case it could be transmitted
free of charge subject to the sanction
of government to which a report
has been submitted. The essence
of the offence consists in its being a
criminal taking from the possession
of the owner without his consent,
and this element being wanting
in this case I cannot charge
the accused and call upon
them for their defence. It moreover
appears to me that the complainant
is bent upon seeing the accused
punished rather than seeing justice
in a constitutional manner,
as is evident from his not
having found out as to whether
his coat is now in the river
or not.-

For the foregoing reasons
I

24/- I direct that the accused be discharged

Code

The Magistrate finds that no offence has been proved against D Shanmukhatta Thirumukhatta and Smt Thumma Sastry and directs that they be discharge under section 253 of the criminal procedure Code.

Dy 15th October/91. Sd/ N. Chelvenayar
F.C. Magistrate
Thiruppuvanam

Compared
LS "True copy" S. Shanmukha Rao
Copy applied for 5-10-91 Head Munshi
Ready for delivery 6-10-91
Delivered 26-10-91.
No of words 1478
Transcription fee 1-6-6
Comparing fee 11-5-4
1-11-6

Sd/

COURT OF THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

(93)

Revision.
Section 297, C. P. Code.
Form No. 34, Criminal.

IN THE COURT OF THE CHIEF JUDGE OF MYSORE.

Criminal Jurisdiction.

The 5th day of December 1891

Rev: Case No. 484 of 1891

To the Sessions Judge of Saga.

The record in the case of Shamabhatta + others accused in Criminal Case no 57 of 1891 on the file of the 2d Asst: Comr and 1st class Magte of Sirsi having been before the Court in revision and no cause appearing for interference with the proceedings therein had in the Court of the said Magistrate

It is ordered

that the record be returned, ~~and that a copy of this order be sent to the Officer~~ in charge of the Jail at ~~for the information of the said~~

By the Court

Registrar

~~Chief Judge of Mysore.~~

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—24-9-85.—600.

1286 Re: records —

Forwarded to the District Magistrate of Shimoga

for information + guidance. The record is herewith returned.

Chief Judge





(97)
Error
11/7/94

In the Court of the 2d Assistant Commr and 1st " Class Magistrate. Shimoga

Case No 57 of 1894

Deposition of Rahuman Sab 4th witness
for Prosecution. S.a.

Age 50 years
Race
Religion } Mohomedan
Father's name Syed Yakub
Resident of Holehonnur
Occupation Contractor

Shekdar had come to Koodly from Holehonnur, I believe it was on the 5th of this month that he went there followed by me, Hayath Sab and Shippanna we went from Holehonnur walking and near the ford of Banayshutte finr the Shekdar sent his peon to bring Bullocks we three got into the Shekdar's boat at

that place and found down the
place where the villagers washing,
and got down to it. I paid one
pounding to a boat that was the
boat which the villagers were displeased
per minutes after Received Bundle Came
Skelder told him that he was sorry
order to bring the boat that he would
not pay Bundle paid that one was
any regret to me while that he
he would not allow it to be opened.
Skelder desired me to bring the villagers
boat till the per minutes. He paid
allowed down the stream side of it —
river that he had come with it paid
it to me Came Skelder the
per not to allow the villagers to —
take the boat; the rafter Branches
of Woods ascended the 3d second
Sotta Francisco river [illegible]
[illegible] in the village saying that —
they may discuss with Chief as he
is subject to the justice. Skelder was
received out of the boat and Bundle
went



that he had no claim over the boat; that it was being used by the villagers Shambhutt (1st accused) came just as this was going on. Thirkum bhutt (2d accused) and others said that the Yejaman came and told him to took into the papers. The Shekdar took down the statements of Rudla and others enclosed them in a cover gave it to me with direction to take it to Amildar at Kolhoumer and bring his reply. I did so, by the time I returned the Shekdar and others were sat in the temple. I went to where the boats were, the Shekdar, Jamdar and peon were all in the bed of the river, there were several people assembled. Thirkum bhutt (2d accused said that one of the boats belonged to one Imbatt and the other to another and told the people to row them both and they did so,

the Sheikdar told me that it was not proper to leave in that manner and informed the Sheikdar of Kundel at Ijardar adjacent to which the Kundel was, that they should come to me and not go away without my leave, all the accused and Cook and persons were in that boat.

Cross-Examination. Buggi Sahebbatt (1st accused) took part in the Ijardar's boat, the 2d and 3d accused were not in that boat. I went to the riverside with the Amildar's peshy a little before 12 noon, there may have been 7 or 8 persons including the 1st accused in the Ijardar's boat, one Shaggihully Hendoma accused, it had been put in motion just as I went up. I was not able to recognize the passengers except 2 or 3,

the 2d and 3d accused were seated
in the villager's boat, it was sailing
alongside of the river, there were about
7 or 8 persons in it also; to cross the
river they took the boats; I was
looking on till both the boats reached
the opposite bank, Shamu Bhatt went
away while Thirkuna Bhatt and Putta-
Thamma Sastry remained there some
cocoanuts were put into Ojardar's
boat and Kuncka rowed it back again
the villager's boat was brought
back by it Kuncka empty I don't
remember now whether there were any
passengers in the boat in which cocoa-
nut was - I have been taking the ferry
contract since the past 10 or 15 years.
I used to convey the Brahmins of Kudly
free of charge so long my contract lasted
I used to convey the accused also free
of charge like other Brahmins I remain

at the [illegible] and the employ
absence the [illegible]
the [illegible]
the [illegible] but should [illegible]
with my [illegible] for [illegible]
of the [illegible]
to [illegible]
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
to [illegible] and the [illegible]
the ground that there was no stipulation
to that effect Thiphanna pays me
monthly wages for looking after the
boat in the [illegible] and [illegible]
Re-examined. I handed Mr Strain
the ferry contract of Bainyath &
and has public the form to the [illegible]
witness Thiphanna under whom I work.

30/9/91 (Signed) R. Chelvanayagar
— Tamil Clerk —

Compared
C.R.

"True Copy"

Copy applied for 28/11
ready for delivery 29/11
delivered.
No. of words 815
for inscription fee 0—13—6
for seeing fee 0—3—0
Paper Charges 0—2

By Command
2nd Class Magistrate

[illegible] DISTRICT

నం 2

(137)

వకలంపూడి

తేదీ 20-7-74.

20-7-74

తన్నిన్నటి 1726 గలంపూడిలోని వారి
మీలు లేని దినాంకి గం.మ 1408 తేదీ 19-7-93 గల
మన్యం జాం వచ్చి సాంకేతిక సమస్యల వల్ల ఈ లేఖ
తేలంగా వెడుతున్నందుకు చింతిస్తున్నాము. మీరు
లేవనెత్తిన అంశాలకు సంబంధించి మా వివరణ
ఈ విధంగా తెలియజేస్తున్నాము -

మీ ఖాతాలో ఉన్న కొంత మొత్తాన్ని మీ
కోరిక మేరకు మీ ఖాతాకు జమ చేయడానికి మీరు
చేసిన ప్రయత్నాలు మీకు తెలిసినవే. ఈ విషయమై
మీరు మా బ్రాంచి మేనేజరుతో అనేకసార్లు
మాట్లాడినట్లు మాకు తెలిసింది. ఈ విషయమై
మీరు మా బ్రాంచి మేనేజరుకు వ్రాసిన లేఖలు
మీ ఖాతాకు సంబంధించిన పాస్ బుక్ మొదలైన
వాటిని మీరు మా బ్రాంచి మేనేజరుకు అందజేసినట్లు
మీ లేఖ ద్వారా తెలుస్తున్నది. ఈ విషయమై
మీరు మా బ్రాంచి మేనేజరుతో మాట్లాడినప్పుడు
మీ ఖాతాలో ఉన్న మొత్తాన్ని మీ ఖాతాకు జమ
చేయడానికి మీరు ఇచ్చిన దరఖాస్తులు మీ

573

774

1000

16.1.95

5-10-94

24-10-94

ಕಿಸಾನ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು

30-13 — ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರ ಗೌಡ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

30-13 — ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸರೋಜಾ ದೇವಿ, ಮೈಸೂರು

30-13 — ಡಾ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ ಹೆಗಡೆ, ಶಿರಸಿ

30-13 — ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಪ್ರಸಾದ್ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ, ಮಂಗಳೂರು

123-4 — ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ

ಕಿಸಾನ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು 1890ರ ದಶಕದ ಆರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೋದ್ಯಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಸ ಆಯಾಮ ನೀಡಿದರು. ಅವರ ಸಂಪಾದಕತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಪಟ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬರೆದ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಸಾವಿರಾರು ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು.

ಕಿಸಾನ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು 1891ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೋದ್ಯಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಸ ಆಯಾಮ ನೀಡಿದರು. ಅವರ ಸಂಪಾದಕತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಪಟ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬರೆದ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಸಾವಿರಾರು ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು.

ಕಿಸಾನ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು 1890ರ ದಶಕದ ಆರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೋದ್ಯಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಸ ಆಯಾಮ ನೀಡಿದರು. ಅವರ ಸಂಪಾದಕತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಸಾರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಪಟ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬರೆದ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಸಾವಿರಾರು ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದವು.

(149)

ಹಾಜರಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ -

1. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ತರಗತಿ, ಪ್ರತಿ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೂ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ
2. ಒಂದೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಪುಟಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು
3. ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಹೆಸರು (ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ) ಕೊಡಬೇಕು
4. ಹಾಜರಿಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ದಿನವೂ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು
5. ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತವರ ಮತ್ತು ಹಾಜರಾದವರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
6. ಹಾಜರಿಯ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ತಿಂಗಳೂ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
7. ತಿಂಗಳು ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಹಾಜರಿ ಇಲ್ಲದವರ ಹೆಸರು
8. ಅಪಘಾತ ಸಂಭವಿಸಿದರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
9. ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು
10. ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು
11. ಒಂದೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಪುಟಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
12. ಪ್ರತಿ ತರಗತಿ ಮತ್ತು ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ
13. ಪ್ರತಿ ತರಗತಿಯ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ

ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ
ಕಡತದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿಡಬೇಕು. ಇದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಗತಿ ಪತ್ರ
ಅಥವಾ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ಪುಸ್ತಕ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಬಹುದು.
ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಪಡೆದ
ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು.

ಹೆಚ್.ಎಂ.ರವರ ಸಹಿ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 20-6-92

ਮਿਤੀ 21-6-95

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜੀ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਵਿਦਿਆ ਖੋਜ ਅਤੇ ਸਿਖਲਾਈ ਪ੍ਰੀਸ਼ਦ ਸੈਕਟਰ 32 ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:- ਸਾਲ 1995 ਵਿਚ ਸਮਰ ਕੈਂਪ 3 ਜੁਲਾਈ ਤੋਂ 15 ਜੁਲਾਈ ਤੱਕ ਲਗਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ।

ਹਵਾਲਾ:- ਆਪ ਦੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ ਐਸ.ਸੀ.ਈ.ਆਰ.ਟੀ./ਸਮਰ ਕੈਂਪ/95/ਮਿਤੀ 15-6-95

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਅਤੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਲਿਖਣਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸਾਲ 1995 ਵਿਚ ਸਮਰ ਕੈਂਪ ਮਿਤੀ 3 ਜੁਲਾਈ ਤੋਂ 15 ਜੁਲਾਈ ਤੱਕ ਲਗਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਪੱਤਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਜੋ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਸਾਡੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿਚ ਲਾਗੂ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕਦੀਆਂ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਸਾਡੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿਚ ਸਮਰ ਕੈਂਪ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਹੀ ਲੱਗ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਪਤ ਵੀ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੈ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ

ਆਪ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ



'measurements & rights the
Village also claim the privilege
they say that they need space to
keep from or other grains from
their threshing floors as they used
before other merchants
& Merchants

13 ಕಿಶ್ಚ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಸರಿ ಪ್ರಯೋಗವಾಕ್ಯಗಳು ಯಾವುವು ಕೆಲವು
ಸರಿಯಾದ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ- ಉದಾ- ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದೆ

14 ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಿತು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ- ಸರಿಯಿಲ್ಲದ ಕೆಲವು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ
ಸ- ಶೆ

15 ಹೊಸ ಪದಗಳು ಯಾವ ಚಿಹ್ನೆಗಳು ಅಕ್ಷರ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಅಕ್ಷರ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ

16 ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು ಸಾಲಿನ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಿತು
ಬರೆಯಿತು- ಸರಿ

17 ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳು ಸರಿ ಕೆಲವು ಜೋಡಿ
ಬಾಳೆ- ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪದಗಳು

18 ಅಕ್ಷರ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪದಗಳು ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಪದಗಳು
ಸ- ಆಯಿತು

19 ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು- ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪದಗಳು
ಪದಗಳು ಆಯಿತು

20 ಸುಲಭ ಪದಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಬರೆಯಿತು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ- ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪದಗಳು
ಪದಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ- ಆಯಿತು

21 ಕೆಲವು ಬರೆದು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪದಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ
ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ

22 ಪದಗಳು- ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಸ- ಪ್ರಯೋಗಗಳು ಬರೆಯಿತು- ಚಿಹ್ನೆಯಾಗಿ

23 ಪೂರ್ಣ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪದಗಳು ಬರೆಯಿತು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಆಯಿತು

24 ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು

25 ಪೂರ್ಣ ಪದಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಬರೆಯಿತು

26 ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪದಗಳು ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು

27 ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು

28 ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಪದಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು

29 ಪದಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಗಳು ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು

30 ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಚಿಹ್ನೆ ಪದಗಳು ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು ಪ್ರಯೋಗಗಳು ಚಿಹ್ನೆ

2 से (164)

3) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

(68)

(General Serial No. 30.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1640
1895
26 AUG 95
E. Pet 176
OFFICE

SECTION L. Boards. From
FILE No. 16 Sec 93
SERIAL No. 1895

To
The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 18th August 1895
Despatched 27th
Received 29-8-95

Enclosures No. Two Campapers.
General No. 216
No. 181

With reference to Chief Secy's Offl Memo No 13610 dated 2-7-17-92 15th February 1893 reports upon the petition from Shankara Shastry, Agent, Kudli Matt, Shimoga Taluk,

Shimoga District

L 895

Subject for orders & the Revenue Commissioner

The file referred to in this letter, relating to the claim of the inhabitants of Kudli were submitted for orders on 3-9-95

10/9

Knowledge Member with new Deputy Secretary for instructions as directed by Board Minutes?

16.9.95

Friend and Please 4 16/9/95

To Inam Record Branch

17/9

RD 17/9/95

Robn M 29/8/95

The file quoted above belongs to Inam Branch

Get the file early - a file connected with this [illegible] Board & Revenue Commissioner

No 216/181

En 1 + Can papers.

Shimoga District
Deputy Commr's Office
Shimoga 18th August 1892

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the Chief Secretary's Official Memorandum No 13610/L-717-92 dated 15th February 1893 forwarding for report a petition with enclosures from Shankar Shastry, Agent, Kudli Matt, in the Shimoga Taluk, I have the honor to forward a brief precis of the several documents received therewith, and to state that necessary enquiries were made by Mr M. Ramachendra Rao, 2d Assistant Commissioner, and also by myself and there is

good ground for holding that the
servants of the Judicature and
articles belonging thereto were being
performed free of charge according
to long standing custom. In
this connection attention is in-
vited to this Office letter of this
date on the petitioner's file in
duplicate of the Judicature
Order similarly explained.

The petition received with en-
closures received with the above
is herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

W. Wyndham
For Deputy Commissioner

Mr. M.

Memo in connection with the Petition of Shankara Shastry, Agent of Kudli Matt, Shimoga Taluk, Regarding the Ferry at Kudli

No 1 Copy of an order. In order No 86 dated 8th July 1838 issued by the Shimoga Taluk Amildar to the Shekdar of Kudli Inaque it is stated that the ferry Contractor demands fees for conveying people and grain belonging to the Matt and that Putta Shastry, Matt Subhadar, states that there is no such Manual of paying fees to the Contractor, and therefore it is directed that according to Manual that the Contractor should be informed that he should not levy fees from such passengers of the

Matt and their things.

In order No 59 dated 20th August No 2 Copy of an order
1840 issued by the Shanaya Amildar
to the Shekdar, Kudli Magani, it is
stated that Ranga Shastry, the
Matt Subhadar, represents that the
Ferry Contractor demands fees as
above stated contrary to Manual
and therefore he should be directed
not to violate the Manual.

In order No 764 dated 31st July 1846 No 3 Original order
issued by the Honnali Amildar to
Putta Shastry, Subhadar, Kudli Matt,
it is stated that it appears from his
letter that Sivappa on behalf of the
Ferry Contractor demands fees for
Matt passengers and their things
contrary to Manual and the Matt

Subhadar was informed that a Sakid has been issued to the Serv. Isardar to let the Matt passengers &c.. go free of charge -

Original order No 819 dated 11th August 1864.

In a Communication from the Honnali Amildar with Petit a Shastry, the Matt Subhadar, it is stated that with reference to the recovery of fees again contrary to Manual from a Shastry who came to the Matt, that a Sakid has been issued to the Isardar informing him that he will be severely punished if he molests people contrary to Manual, that it is not usual to furnish Copy of Huzur orders regarding the prohibition of the collection of fees;

and that if it is proved that fees have been recovered contrary to Manual a fine will be imposed upon the Isardar.

With reference to the Complaint of the petitioners that the Isardar recovers fees from the Katt people, it appears that no measures have been taken not to violate the existing Manual. Putta Shastry of the Katt has again made a reference on the subject. The Manual should not be broken with regard to the passages of the Katt and the things belonging to it. An endorsement has also been given to the Petitioners accordingly. If the contractor still persists he

Copy of Letter addressed to Shekdar of Kudlipet No 110 dated 2nd September 1811, issued by the Shanoga Amildar.

he should be sent to the Taluk Cutcherry.

Orequal order No 892 dated 12th September 1844 from Captain Lehardy, Superintt, Nagar Division, to Putta Shastry Subhadar of the Matt.

With reference to his petition complaining that the Sardar recovers fees from the Matt people contrary to Manual at Holehonnur, he was informed that a Sundar representation was made by the Kudli people to the Amildar, and a report was received from him and to which an order has been issued to the Amildar of Honnali directing him to see that the Manual is not violated.

Orequal Yadi No 1034 dated 16th October 1844 from the Amildar

With reference to the Contractor having demanded fees

from certain Kolalakere people coming to the Matt and extorted a saddle from them, the Contractor has been fined one rupee and the fine has been recovered and a Sakid has been sent to the Killedar to see that the saddle has been restored to the party.

With reference to your communication that the passengers and things belonging to the Matt are molested, and fees demanded at Kudli, a Sakid has been issued to Subhadar Puttiah to see that the Mamool is not broken and to strictly warn the Ferry Contractor.

O. Hosmali to Subhadar Putta Shastry.

Original yadi from the Hosmali Taluk Amildar to Shankar Shastry Subhadar of the Matt Re 523 of 1861-87.

Original yadi No. 296 dated 12th December 1848 from the Shimoga Taluk Amildar to Shankar Shastry of the Kudli Matt

With reference to your communication a Taked has been issued to the Subhadar of Kudli Matt to see that the Mannul is not violated in regard to the passengers and things belongeng to the Kudli Matt.

Original letter dated 21-6-49 from the Holchonnur Shekdar and Nadig Mariappa to Lenga Shastry of the Kudli Matt.

With reference to the complaint that the Shikune Isardar objects to taking tiles to the Matt free of fees, Narasinga Rao the Isardar has been informed not to raise objections, and to take the tiles free.

Copy of Taked from the Shimoga Taluk Amildar to the Shekdar of Bidre No 190 dated 26-6-52.

With reference to the letter of the agent complaining that 3 annas have been procured against Mannul as fees from the people of the Matt for crossing

the power, Putta Shastry, Subhadar of the Matt, produced for perusal an original Sanad of 1844 No 110 directing that all passengers and things belonging to the Matt should be carried free of charge according to Manual. That the Manual should not be violated and people molested. That the Contractor should be warned accordingly, and if he still persists he should be sent to the Sadr Cutcherry. If it is a fact that three annas have been recovered by the Contractor from the Matt people, the amount should be recovered from the Contractor and refunded to them.

Informing the Agent that Original order No 42,

dated 24-7-54 from the Superintendent, Nagar Division, to the Subhadar Nagashastry of Kudli Matt

order No 318 dated 12-7-54 has been issued to the Amildar of the Honnali Taluk directing the Ferry Contractor not to demand fees for conveying people and grain belonging to the Matt.

Original Yadi No 78 dated 6-8-54 from Venkata Rao Amildar of the Honnali Taluk to the Subhadar of the Kudli Matt.

Informing the Subhadar that the Killedar of Kolebommur has been ordered to direct the Ferry Contractor of Dhanackanapur not to levy fees from people and upon grain conveyed to the Matt against Manual as per Huzur orders.

Original yadi No 467 dated 13-8-54 from the Killedar of Kolebommur to the Subhadar of Kudli Matt

Informing the Subhadar that orders have been issued to the Ferry Isardar not to levy fees from people and things conveyed

to the Kudli Matt as per Amzur orders

№ 318.

Informing that the Ferry Contractor has been directed not to levy fees from the people conveying milk and curds to the Matt.

Original yadi No 451 dated 14th August 1857 from Kellodu of Hale-honnur to Venkatarmana Shastry Subhadar of Kudli Matt.

Informing the Agent that orders have been issued to the Hale-honnur Shekdar to direct the Ferry Boatmans not to levy fees from people and articles conveyed to the Kudli Matt.

Original yadi No 1803 dated 21-10-57 from the Subhadar of the Shimoga Taluk to the Agent of Kudli Matt.

Informing the Agent that strict orders have been issued to the Ferry Boatmans not to demand fees from people and articles conveyed to the Kudli Matt as per Taluk orders and in depart-

Original yadi No 1803 (Kannada) from the Hale honnur Shekdar to the Agent of Kudli Matt.

heavy fine will be imposed upon them

Original letter dated 23-7-73 from Durgoje, Ferry Contractor to the Agent of Kudli Matt.

Informing the Matt Agent that strict orders have been issued to the Ferry servants not to demand fees from Brahmins and others and articles conveyed to the Matt as per Shekdar's Takid

Original yadi No 196 dated 1-9-73 from the Agent of Kudli Matt to the Amildar of the Shimoga Taluk.

Requesting to be informed what orders have been passed on the subject of the Ferry Contractors of Kudli and Holehonnur levying fees against Manuel from passengers and on articles conveyed to the Kudli Matt

Shimoga
18th August 1873

R Rice Dr
for Commissioner

BRh

(18·2)

SECTION 1. Boards. Head:—

FILE NO. 1083 8 1895

SERIAL NO. 12

A draft

Name or selection of each Ferry	Name of river on which ferry is situated	Name of Taluk in which ferry is situated	Whether each ferry is worked by contract or annually	Amount of annual revenue	Whether created by the D.J

Sec.

In the Shimoga D. F. Budget for 1895-96, there is an estimate of Rs 500 under the head "Ferries" on the side of receipt. As a complete list of ferries are not available in the office, to answer the 2nd Councillor's query this draft is submitted for approval. DJ 23/9/95

Letter dated 18th August 1895 c/o 216/181 from the Dy Comr of the Shimoga District submitting the report called for in the official memorandum of Govt dated 15th February 1893 c/o 13610/L-F 17-92 in regard to the claim of Shankara Sastry, agent Kudli matt in the Shimoga Taluk to the levying of fees for the ferrying of the servants and things of the matt being ferried free of charge.

RL 8/13

Order thereon 3985 / L-F 17-92 27/10/95

Before the issue of orders on the above representation the Dy Comr was requested to submit a complete list of ferries in the District in the form given in the margin and to state whether the revenues derived from each of

(186)

SECTION L. Boards Gen. Serial No. 31.

FILE No. 1485/95 1895

SERIAL No. 1895 From

OFFICE OF THE 35-47 2 NOV 1895 DIWAN OF MYSORE

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 30 October 1895.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures—No. 1

General No. 452

No. 276

With reference to Govt. Prot. No. 3985 dy 7 Instant, L.F. 1792 I submit a list of Ferries in the District in the form given

1877

2/11/95

As the receipts of the Kudli ~~mutt~~ ferry are creditable to the D. F., the matter has to be disposed of in this branch Submitted for orders in regard to exemption claimed by the Kudli mutt for being ferried free of charge. DRJ 8/11/95

Mr. Prepare a memo of the previous notes on the subject.

Sir. The memo submitted by the Dy Comm. is below. DRJ 8/11

I knew it. Prepare an office memo as brief as possible. 2P

1/11/95.

I remember having seen an order on some file in the Revenue Branch and relating to this ferry. Consequent relief was granted

31/10/95

Rev Branch Please put up papers DRJ 31/96

L.F.Br.

Rev: Branch File No 1490/93 in re Ferry of the Hemmige village to Turkeripa. It does not appear to contain any order of the late Commissioner.

DRJ 8/1

Submitted with papers received from the Rev. Branch DRJ 10/96

From

The Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated, 20th October, 1895.

No. 452/276 Enc:—1

Government Proceedings no: 3985 L.F. 17-92 dated 7th instant, requesting the submission of a complete list of Ferries in the District in the form prescribed and enquiring whether the revenue derived from each of them is or is not credited to District Funds of the District.

—"—

With reference to the above, I have the honor to submit a list of Ferries in the District in the form as called for therein and to state that the amount is credited to district Funds.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Dy. Commissioner.

MRL

SECTION L. Boards
FILE No. 16 & 17 of 95-6
SERIAL No. 187

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

To

THE President D. F. Bd
Shimoga circle

Dated 12/9/00
Despatched
Received
General No. 58'91
L. F. 17-02
Enclosure No.

RL 423

Jour & cons
No 377 & 20/10/0
309

His letters Nos 214/179 & 216/181 of the 18 Aug 1895 (Dates of letters 45-2 & 276 are 30/10/95) re

exemption claimed by the Bramhins of the village of Kudli from payment of fees for ferrying them over the Tunga & the Bhadra

It is denied that the N. Is of 1874, under which they claim exemption are the subject matter of any petition as they have been despatched to Acharya Shastry in 1895 vide No

With reference to the above I have the honour to request to know what has been the practice in this matter during the last three years.

~~and~~ whether (1) the Mute servants and articles, (2) Brahmans of Kudli and (3) other residents were carried free or on payment of fees.

"By order"

10/9/10

Submitted to offg Dewan

Draft as per order of 10th Comptroller to issue

6/9

6/9/10

(189)

L 701

4500
24.X.00.
189 1900.

SECTION Local Boards.
FILE No. [illegible]
SERIAL No. 16

FROM The President
District Fund Board
Shimoga

To The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue Dept
Bangalore

Dated 20th October 1900
Despatched
Received
General No. 387
No. 309
Enclosure No.

Subd to the Secy.

This communication is in reply to certain queries put by the 1st Commissioner (Law Officer) regarding the exemption claimed by certain persons of Kuoli from payment of Ferry fees

HS
26/10/00

Subd to the 1st Commissioner.
The papers containing the queries in it will be filed in the place indicated

HS
1/11/00.

With reference to queries proposed in Secretary's Circular No. 3891 C.F. 17-92 dated 12th September 1900

Submitted express.

Rc 6.15 PM
1.11.00

Reply communicated in Circular No. 3891 C.F. 17-92 dated 12th September 1900

HS
2/11/00

C.F. 17-92

Gl Records

Please put up C.F. 17-92.

ES.

L 7
21.10

Under Rule 9 of Rules

Submitted for orders.

Please see off'g Dewans orders on this office Circular dated 25/8/00.

Dwn 25/10/0

S.V.K. 2/11/00

From the President
of the Destitute Inam Board
Shiroor
Dated 19th October, 00.

No 379
409

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your direct
No. 3891 / L.R. 17-92 dated 12th September, 00 requesting me to furnish information on certain points raised in connection with the exemption claimed by the Brahmans of Tudli from payment of fees for lands formerly held on the Tenure and the Bhadaya, I have the honor to state that the Amildar held an enquiry and the results were the following replies to the queries proposed:-

I The Brahmans of Tudli the Mathas servants and artizans were as usual formerly over charged the cost of lands without the levy of fees. The other residents ryots were formerly charged on the payment of fees to the Inam Investigator and the remaining classes on the payment of fees

(191)

The Forwarding seem to have been exempted on the authority of the orders mentioned in the enclosure to this Office docket No. 216/181 dated 18th August 1895 addressed to the Revenue Secretary to the Government of Mysore

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H. Mariyanna Gowda
President
District Fund Board
Shimoga

M

(192)

Read

Correspondence ending with letter No. 377/309 dy 20th October 1900 from the President of the D.F Board, Shimoga stating ~~in the matter of~~ exemption claims ~~proposed~~ by the Brahmins & other inhabitants of Kudli from payment of fees for ferries over the Tunga & Bhadra that the Brahmins of Kudli the mutt Servants and articles belonging to it were, as usual, ferried over during the last 5 years without the levy of fees, & that of the other residents, inmates were ferried over on payment of annas 4 to the ferry contractor and the remaining classes on payment of fees.

Order thereon

Under the above circumstances, sanction direct

✗ write proper w. nos office Docket No 3891 dy 12-9-00 in regard to the exemptions

SECTION Local Boards
FILE NO. 1053
SERIAL NO. 16

N.L. 824.

651
L.7.17-92 10/11/00

that the practice, which is
said to have been in vogue
for the last 5 years should
be continued in future.

4/11/00

Secretary

DMJ 6/11/00

To

The President, D.F.B.C., Simoga.

Orders are solicited as
to whether this needs be
submitted to the Govt Dumas
before issue with reference
to this order on office file
No 3891/17.92 dt 12-9-00

DMJ 6/11/00

Put to the Off. Secy
as to whether the file has to be
sent to the Off. Secy.

7/11/00.

Not necessary
Issue 7/11/00